TABLE 3	50.2

## **Professional Reasoning Continuum and Characteristics**

Category & Reflective Experience	Characteristics
Novice (No experience in practice area)	<ul> <li>No experience in situation of practice; depends on theory to guide practice.</li> <li>Uses rule-based procedural reasoning to guide actions but does not recognize contextual cues; not skillful in adapting rules to fit situation.</li> <li>Narrative reasoning is used to establish social relationships but does not significantly inform practice.</li> <li>Pragmatic reasoning is stressed in terms of job survival skills.</li> <li>Recognizes overt ethical issues.</li> </ul>
Advanced beginner (<1 yr )  Competent (1–3 yr)	<ul> <li>Begins to incorporate contextual information into rule-based thinking.</li> <li>Recognizes differences between theoretical expectations and presenting problems.</li> <li>Limited experience impedes recognition of patterns and salient cues; does not prioritize well.</li> <li>Relies on external guides so as forms to guide reasoning.</li> <li>Gaining skill in pragmatic and narrative reasoning.</li> <li>Begins to recognize more subtle ethical issues.</li> <li>Automatically performs more therapeutic skills and attends to more issues.</li> <li>Is able to develop communal horizon with people receiving service.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Is able to sort relevant data and prioritize intervention goals related to desired outcomes.</li> <li>Planning is deliberate, efficient, and responsive to contextual issues.</li> <li>Uses conditional reasoning to modify intervention but lacks flexibility.</li> <li>Recognizes ethical dilemmas posed by practice setting.</li> </ul>
Proficient (3–5 yr)	<ul> <li>Perceives situations as wholes.</li> <li>Reflects on expanded range of experiences, permitting more focused evaluation and more flexibility in intervention.</li> <li>Creatively combines different diagnostic and procedural approaches.</li> <li>More attentive to occupational stories and relevance for intervention.</li> <li>More skillful in negotiating resources to meet patient/client needs.</li> <li>Increased sophistication in recognizing situational nature of ethical reasoning.</li> </ul>
Expert (5–10 yr)	<ul> <li>Clinical reasoning becomes a quick intuitive process.</li> <li>Procedural and pragmatic reasoning more detailed.</li> <li>Able to flow conversation and action smoothly.</li> <li>Use understanding of client and client's perspective to determine intervention.</li> <li>Relies on internal guides or images to support actions.</li> </ul>

From Benner, 1984; Clark et al., 1996; Creighton et al., 1995; Dreyfus & Dreyfus, 1986; Mattingly & Fleming, 1994; Rassafiani et al., 2009; Slater & Cohn, 1991; Strong et al., 1995, Unsworth, 2001; Unsworth & Mitchell, 2005